arXiv:math-ph/0301001v1 3 Jan 2003

Symplectic Schemes for Birkhoffian system *

Hongling Su Mengzhao Qin
CAST (World Laboratory),
Institute of Computational Mathematics
and Scientific/Engineering Computing,
Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100080, China.

February 7, 2008

Abstract

A universal symplectic structure for a Newtonian system including nonconservative cases can be constructed in the framework of Birkhoffian generalization of Hamiltonian mechanics. In this paper the symplectic geometry structure of Birkhoffian system is discussed, then the symplecticity of Birkhoffian phase flow is presented. Based on these properties we give a way to construct symplectic schemes for Birkhoffian systems by using the generating function method.

1 Introduction

Birkhoffian representation is the generalization of Hamiltonian representation, which can be applied to hadron physics, statistical mechanics, space mechanics, engineering, biophysics and so on[1, 2]. All conservative or nonconservative, self-adjoint or non-self-adjoint, unconstrained or nonholonomic constrained systems always admit a representation of Birkhoff's equations[2, 3]. In last 20 years, many researchers have studied Birkhoffian mechanics and obtained a series of results in integral theory, stability of motion, inverse problem, algebraic and geometric description, and so on.

Birkhoff's equations are more complex than Hamilton's equations, so are the studies of their computational method. In the past, there are not any result in the computational methods for Birkhoffian system. The known differ-

* Supported by the Special Funds for Major State Basic Research Projects , G 1999, 032800

ence methods are not generally applicable to Birkhoffian system. As the difference scheme to solve Hamiltonian system should be Hamiltonian scheme, the difference scheme to simulate Birkhoffian system should be Birkhoffian scheme, however, the conventional difference schemes such as Euler center scheme, leap-frog scheme and so on are not Birkhoffian scheme, so a method of how to systematically construct a Birkhoffian scheme is necessary. It is the main context in this paper.

The systems both Birkhoffian and Hamiltonian mentioned in this paper are usually finite dimensional situation[6], in fact, the definition of infinite dimensional Birkhoffian system has not proposed before. Ref. [2] described the Algebraic and Geometric profiles of the finite dimensional Birkhoffian systems in local coordinates, and general nonautonomous Hamiltonian system is considered as autonomous Birkhoffian system. Ref. [4] developed systematically the symplectic schemes for the standard Hamiltonian system and general Hamiltonian system on the Poisson manifold which belong together the autonomous and semi-autonomous Birkhoffian system. So in this paper, we just discuss the nonautonomous Birkhoffian system in detail.

In section 2, we sketch out Birkhoffian system via variational self-adjointness, this sketch shows the relationship between Birkhoffian system and Hamiltonian system more essentially and directly, then we give the basic geometrical properties of Birkhoffian system.

Section 3 extends the definitions of $\widetilde{K}(z)$-Lagrangian submanifold to the one with a parameter t such as $\widetilde{K}(z,t)$-Lagrangian submanifold, then we discuss the relationship between symplectic mappings and gradient mappings.

Section 4 constructs the generating functions for the phase flow of the Birkhoffian system and gives the method to simulate Birkhoffian systems by symplectic schemes of any order. The last section shows an illustrating example. A first-order and a second-order schemes for the system of a linear damped oscillator are given.

The Einstein's summation convention is used in the next sections.

2 Birkhoffian System

The generalization of Hamilton's equations we shall study is given by

$$\left(\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial z_i}-\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial z_j}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}z_i}{\mathrm{d}t}-\left(\frac{\partial B(z,t)}{\partial z_i}+\frac{\partial F(z,t)}{\partial t}\right)=0, \tag{1}$$

following the terminology suggested by Santilli(1978)[2], we called it as Birkh-
off's equation or Birkhoffian system. The function $B(z,t)$ is called the Birkhoffian, because of certain physical difference with Hamiltonian which is indicated in Ref. [2]. F_i, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2n$, are Birkhoffian functions. A representation of Newton's equations via Birkhoff's equation is called a Birkhoffian representation.

Definition 2.1. *Birkhoff's equations (1) are called autonomous when the F_i and B functions are free of the time variable, in which case the equations are of the simple form*

$$K_{ij}(z)\frac{dz_j}{dt} - \frac{\partial B(z)}{\partial z_i} = 0. \tag{2}$$

They are called semi-autonomous when the F_i functions do not depend explicitly on time, in which case we have the more general form

$$K_{ij}(z)\frac{dz_j}{dt} - \frac{\partial B(z,t)}{\partial z_i} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Birkhoff's equations are called nonautonomous when both the F_i and B functions have explicit dependence on time, in which case we rewrite

$$K_{ij}(z,t)\frac{dz_j}{dt} - \frac{\partial B(z,t)}{\partial z_i} - \frac{\partial F_i(z,t)}{\partial t} = 0. \tag{4}$$

Here they all have

$$K_{ij} = \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial z_i} - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial z_j}. \tag{5}$$

They are called regular when the functional determinant is not null in the region considered:

$$det(K_{ij})(\widetilde{\Re}) \neq 0, \tag{6}$$

otherwise, degenerate.

Given an arbitrary analytic and regular first-order system

$$K_{ij}(z,t)\frac{\mathrm{d}z_i}{\mathrm{d}t} + D_i(z,t) = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, ...2n, \tag{7}$$

From the point of the inverse variational problem[5], this system is self-adjoint iff. it satisfies the following condition in $\widetilde{\Re}^*$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} + K_{ji} &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial K_{ij}}{\partial z_k} + \frac{\partial K_{jk}}{\partial z_i} + \frac{\partial K_{ki}}{\partial z_j} &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial K_{ij}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial D_i}{\partial z_j} - \frac{\partial D_j}{\partial z_i}, &\qquad i, j, k = 1, 2, ...2n. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We now simply introduce the geometric significance of the condition of variational self-adjointness[8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Here the region considered is a star-shaped region $\widetilde{\Re}^*$ of points of $R \times T^*M$, T^*M the cotangent space of the M, M a 2n-dimensional manifold.

Consider first the case for which $K_{ij} = K_{ij}(z)$. Given a symplectic structure written as the 2-form in local coordinates

$$\Omega = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} K_{ij}(z,t)\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \mathrm{d}z_j, \qquad K_{ij} = -K_{ji}, \tag{9}$$

one of the fundamental properties of symplectic form (9) is that $\mathrm{d}\Omega = 0$. The geometric significance of the condition of self-adjointness (8) is then the integrability conditions for 2-form (9) to be an exact symplectic form coincident with the first two formulas of condition (8). Because the exact character of two-form (9) implies following structure

$$\Omega = \mathrm{d}(F_i \mathrm{d}z_i), \tag{10}$$

this geometric property is fully characterized by the first two equations of condition (8), and we can say that the two-form (10) describes the geometrical structure of the autonomous case (2) of the Birkhoff's equations, even it sketches out the geometric structure of the semi-autonomous case.

For the case of that $K_{ij} = K_{ij}(z,t)$, the full set of condition (8) must be considered and the corresponding geometric structure can be better expressed by transition of the symplectic geometry on the cotangent bundle T^*M with local coordinates z_i to the contact geometry on the manifold $R \times T^*M$ with local coordinates $\widetilde{z}_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 2n$, $\widetilde{z}_0 = t^{[1]}$. In this case more general formulations of an exact contact 2-form persist, although it is now referred to as a (2n+1)-dimensional space,

$$\widehat{\Omega} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{2n} \widehat{K}_{ij}\mathrm{d}\widetilde{z}_i \wedge \mathrm{d}\widetilde{z}_j = \Omega + 2D_i \mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \mathrm{d}t, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\widehat{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -D^{\mathrm{T}} \\ D & K \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

if the contact form is also of the exact type

$$\widehat{\Omega} = \mathrm{d}(\widetilde{F}_i \mathrm{d}\widetilde{z}_i), \qquad \widetilde{F}_i = \begin{cases} -B \\ F_i \end{cases}, \tag{13}$$

the geometric meaning of the condition of the self-adjointness is then the integrability condition for the exact contact structure (13). Here B can be calculated from

$$-\frac{\partial B}{\partial z_i} = D_i + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t} \tag{14}$$

for

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}\left(D_i + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\left(D_j + \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial t}\right). \tag{15}$$

All the above discussion can be expressed via the following property.

Proposition 2.1. *(Self−Adjointness of Birkhoffian System). Necessary and sufficient condition for a general nonautonomous first-order system given as above to be self-adjoint in $\widetilde{\Re}^*$ of points of $R \times T_*R^{2n}$ is that it is of the Birkhoffian type, i.e.,*

$$K_{ij}(z,t)\frac{dz_i}{dt} + D_i(z,t) = (\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial z_i} - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial z_j})\frac{dz_i}{dt} - (\bigtriangledown B(z,t) + \frac{\partial F(z,t)}{\partial t}). \tag{16}$$

The functions F_i and B can be calculated according to the rules[5]

$$F_i = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 z_j \cdot K_{ji}(\lambda z, t)\mathrm{d}\lambda, \tag{17}$$

$$B = \int_0^1 z_i \cdot (D_i + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t})(\lambda z, t)\mathrm{d}\lambda. \tag{18}$$

As well as Hamiltonian system, Birkhoffian system provides a symbiosis among variational principle, Lie's algebra and symplectic geometry.[13, 1, 2, 7] Obviously both standard Hamilton's equations and general Hamilton's equations on Poisson manifold are recovered from Birkhoff's equations as in the particular cases of autonomous and semi-autonomous Birkhoffian representations.

Due to the self-adjointness of Birkhoff's equations, the phase flow of the system (16) conserves the symplecticity, then we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Omega = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(K_{ij}\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \mathrm{d}z_j) = 0. \tag{19}$$

It means that if we denote the phase flow of the equations (16) with $(\widehat{z}, \widehat{t})$, then

$$K_{ij}(\widehat{z}, \widehat{t})\mathrm{d}\widehat{z}_i \wedge \mathrm{d}\widehat{z}_j = K_{ij}(z,t)\mathrm{d}z_i \wedge \mathrm{d}z_j, \tag{20}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{z}}{\partial z}^{\mathrm{T}} K(\widehat{z},\widehat{t})\frac{\partial \widehat{z}}{\partial z} = K(z,t). \tag{21}$$

In the next sections we will construct the algorithm preserving this geometric property of the phase flow in discrete space.

3 Generating Functions for $K(z,t)$-Symplectic Ma ppings

In this section we consider general $k(z,t)$-symplectic mappings and their relationship with the gradient mappings and their generating functions.

Definition 3.1. *Denote*

$$\begin{gathered} J_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad J_{4n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{2n} \\ -I_{2n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \widetilde{J}_{4n} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{2n} & 0 \\ 0 & -J_{2n} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \widetilde{K}(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0) = \begin{pmatrix} K(\widehat{z},t) & 0 \\ 0 & -K(z,t_0) \end{pmatrix}. \end{gathered} \tag{22}$$

A $2n$-dimensional submanifold $L \subset R^{4n}$

$$L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{z} \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in R^{4n} | z = z(x,t_0), \widehat{z} = \widehat{z}(x,t), x \in U \subset R^{2n}, \textit{open set} \right\} \tag{23}$$

is a J_{4n}- or $\widetilde{J}_{4n}$- or $\widetilde{K}(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0)$-Lagrangian submanifold if

$$(T_xL)^T J_{4n}(T_xL) = 0 \tag{24}$$

or

$$(T_xL)^T \widetilde{J}_{4n}(T_xL) = 0 \tag{25}$$

or

$$(T_xL)^T \widetilde{K}(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0)(T_xL) = 0, \tag{26}$$

where T_xL is the tangent space to L at x.

A mapping with parameters t and t_0 is $z \longrightarrow \widehat{z} = g(z,t,t_0) : R^{2n} \longrightarrow R^{2n}$ which is called a canonical map or a gradient map or a K(z,t)-symplectic map if its graph

$$\Gamma_g = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{z} \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in R^{4n} | \widehat{z} = g(z,t,t_0), z = z \in R^{2n} \right\} \tag{27}$$

is a J_{4n}- or $\widetilde{J}_{4n}$- or $\widetilde{K}(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0)$-Lagrangian submanifold.

Definition 3.2. *A differentiable mapping $g : M \to M$ is $K(z,t)$-symplectic, if*

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}^T K(g(z,t,t_0),t)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = K(z,t_0). \tag{28}$$

A difference scheme approximating the Birkhoff's system (16)

$$z^{k+1} = g^k(z^k, t_k + \tau, t_k), \qquad k \geqslant 0, \tag{29}$$

either explicit scheme or worked out from a inexplicit scheme, is called a K-symplectic scheme, when g^k is K-symplectic for every $k \geqslant 0$, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial g^k}{\partial z^k}^T K(z^{k+1}, t^{k+1})\frac{\partial g^k}{\partial z^k} = K(z^k, t^k). \tag{30}$$

The graph of the phase flow of the Birkhoffian system (1) is $g^t(z,t_0) = g(z,t,t_0)$ which is a $\widetilde{K}(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0)$-Lagrangian submanifold for

$$g_z^t(z,t_0)^{\mathrm{T}} K(g^t(z,t_0),t) g_z^t(z,t_0) = K(z,t_0). \tag{31}$$

Similarly the graph of the phase flow of standard Hamiltonian system is a $\widetilde{J}_{4n}$-Lagrangian submanifold.

Define nonlinear transformation with two parameters t and t_0 from R^{4n} to itself,

$$\alpha(t,t_0) : \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{z} \\ z \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{w} \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0) \\ \alpha_2(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\alpha^{-1}(t,t_0) : \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{w} \\ w \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{z} \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^1(\widehat{w},w,t,t_0) \\ \alpha^2(\widehat{w},w,t,t_0) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{32}$$

Denote

$$\alpha_*(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0) = \begin{pmatrix} A_\alpha & B_\alpha \\ C_\alpha & D_\alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\alpha_*^{-1}(\widehat{w},w,t,t_0) = \begin{pmatrix} A^\alpha & B^\alpha \\ C^\alpha & D^\alpha \end{pmatrix}. \tag{33}$$

α_* is the Jacobian of α. Let α be a diffeomorphism from R^{4n} to itself, then it follows that α carries every $\widetilde{K}$-Lagrangian submanifold into a J_{4n}-Lagrangian submanifold, iff.

$$\alpha_*^{\mathrm{T}} J_{4n} \alpha_* = \widetilde{K}, \tag{34}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_\alpha & B_\alpha \\ C_\alpha & D_\alpha \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{pmatrix} J_{2n} & 0 \\ 0 & -J_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_\alpha & B_\alpha \\ C_\alpha & D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K(\widehat{z},t) & 0 \\ 0 & -K(z,t_0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (35)$$

Conversely α^{-1} carries every J_{4n}-Lagrangian submanifold into a $\widetilde{K}$-Lagrangian submanifold.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $M \in R^{2n\times 2n}$, α be defined as above. Define a fractional transformation*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\alpha :&\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \\ &M \longrightarrow N = \sigma_\alpha(M) = (A_\alpha M + B_\alpha)(C_\alpha M + D_\alpha)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

under the transversality condition

$$|C_\alpha M + D_\alpha| \neq 0. \quad (37)$$

Then the following four conditions are equivalent mutually:

$$\begin{aligned} &|C_\alpha M + D_\alpha| \neq 0, \\ &|MC^\alpha - A^\alpha| \neq 0, \\ &|C^\alpha N + D^\alpha| \neq 0, \\ &|NC_\alpha - A_\alpha| \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The proof is direct and simple, so it is omitted here.

Theorem 3.1. *Let α be defined as above. Let $z \longrightarrow \widehat{z} = g(z,t,t_0)$ be a K(z,t)-symplectic mapping in some neighborhood $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ of R^{2n} with Jacobian $g_z(z,t,t_0) = M(z,t,t_0)$. If M satisfies the transversality condition in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$*

$$|C_\alpha(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0)M(z,t,t_0) + D_\alpha(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0)| \neq 0, \quad (39)$$

then there exists uniquely in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ a gradient mapping $w \longrightarrow \widehat{w} = f(w,t,t_0)$ with Jacobian $f_w(w,t,t_0) = N(w,t,t_0)$ and a scalar function-generating function-$\phi(w,t,t_0)$ such that

$$f(w,t,t_0) = \phi_w(w,t,t_0), \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0) &= f(\alpha_2(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0),t,t_0) \\ &= \phi_w(\alpha_2(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0),t,t_0), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

identically in z and t,

$$N = (A_\alpha M + B_\alpha)(C_\alpha M + D_\alpha)^{-1}, \tag{42}$$

$$M = (A^\alpha N + B^\alpha)(C^\alpha N + D^\alpha)^{-1}. \tag{43}$$

Proof. Under the transformation α, the image of the graph Γ_g is

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\Gamma_g) = \Big\{ \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{w} \\ w \end{pmatrix} \in R^{4n} | \widehat{w} = \alpha_1(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0), \\ w = \alpha_2(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0) \Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

By the inequality (39),

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \widehat{z}} \cdot \frac{\partial \widehat{z}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial z} = C_\alpha M + D_\alpha \neq 0, \tag{45}$$

so $w = \alpha_2(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0)$ is invertible, the inverse function is denoted by $z = z(w,t,t_0)$. Set

$$\widehat{w} = f(w,t,t_0) = \alpha_1(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0)|_{z=z(w,t,t_0)}, \tag{46}$$

then

$$N = \frac{\partial f}{\partial w} = (\frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \widehat{z}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial z})(\frac{\partial z}{\partial w}) = (A_\alpha M + B_\alpha)(C_\alpha M + D_\alpha)^{-1}. \tag{47}$$

Notice that the tangent space to $\alpha(\Gamma_g)$ at z is

$$T_z(\alpha(\Gamma_g)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_\alpha M + B_\alpha \\ C_\alpha M + D_\alpha \end{pmatrix}. \tag{48}$$

we have a conclusion that $\alpha(\Gamma_g)$ is a J_{4n}-Lagrangian submanifold for

$$\begin{aligned} & T_z(\alpha(\Gamma_g))^{\mathrm{T}} J_{4n} T_z(\alpha(\Gamma_g)) \\ & = \left((A_\alpha M + B_\alpha)^{\mathrm{T}}, (C_\alpha M + D_\alpha)^{\mathrm{T}}\right) J_{4n} \begin{pmatrix} A_\alpha M + B_\alpha \\ C_\alpha M + D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \left(M^{\mathrm{T}}, I\right) \alpha_*^{\mathrm{T}} J_{4n} \alpha_* \begin{pmatrix} M \\ I \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \left(M^{\mathrm{T}}, I\right) \widetilde{K} \begin{pmatrix} M \\ I \end{pmatrix} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

So

$$(A_\alpha M + B_\alpha)^{\mathrm{T}}(C_\alpha M + D_\alpha) - (C_\alpha M + D_\alpha)^{\mathrm{T}}(A_\alpha M + B_\alpha) = 0, \tag{50}$$

i.e., $N = (A_\alpha M + B_\alpha)(C_\alpha M + D_\alpha)^{-1}$ is symmetric. It implies that $\widehat{w} = f(w,t,t_0)$ is a gradient mapping. By the Poincaré lemma, there is a scalar function $\phi(w,t,t_0)$ such that

$$f(w,t,t_0) = \phi_w(w,t,t_0). \tag{51}$$

The equation (41) follows from the construction of $f(w,t,t_0)$ and $z(w,t,t_0)$. Since $z(w,t,t_0)\circ\alpha_2(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0) \equiv z$, so substituting $w = \alpha_2(g(z,t,t_0), z,t,t_0)$ in the equations (46) and (51), we get the equation (41).

Proposition 3.2. *$f(w,t,t_0)$ obtained in Theorem 3.1 is also the solution of the following implicit equation*

$$\alpha^1(f(w,t,t_0),w,t,t_0) = g(\alpha^2(f(w,t,t_0),w,t,t_0),t,t_0). \tag{52}$$

The proof is similar to the above proof.

Theorem 3.2. *Let α be defined as in Theorem 3.1. Let $w \longrightarrow \widehat{w} = f(w,t,t_0)$ be a gradient mapping in some neighborhood $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ of R^{2n} with Jacobian $f_w(w,t,t_0) = N(w,t,t_0)$. If in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$, N satisfies the condition*

$$|C^\alpha(f(w,t,t_0),w,t,t_0)N(w,t,t_0) + D^\alpha(f(w,t,t_0),w,t,t_0)| \neq 0, \tag{53}$$

then there exits uniquely in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$, a K(z,t)-symplectic mapping $z \longrightarrow \widehat{z} = g(z,t,t_0)$ with Jacobian $g(z,t,t_0) = M(z,t,t_0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^1(f(w,t,t_0),w,t,t_0) &= g(\alpha^2(f(w,t,t_0),w,t,t_0),t,t_0), \\ M &= (A^\alpha N + B^\alpha)(C^\alpha N + D^\alpha)^{-1}, \\ N &= (A_\alpha M + B_\alpha)(C_\alpha M + D_\alpha)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Similarly to Proposition 3.2, $g(z,t,t_0)$ is the solution of the implicit equation

$$\alpha_1(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0) = f(\alpha_2(g(z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0),z,t,t_0). \tag{55}$$

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and is omitted here.

4 Symplectic Difference Schemes for Birkhoff's Eq uations

In Section 2 it is indicated that for a general Birkhoff's system, there is a common property that its phase flow is symplectic. Through the result

in the proceeded section, we construct symplectic schemes for Birkhoff's system by approximating the generating functions.

The Birkhoff's phase flow is denoted by $g^t(z,t_0)$, it is a one-parameter group of $K(z,t)$-symplectic mappings at least local in z and t, i.e.,

$$g^{t_0} = \text{identity}, \qquad g^{t_1+t_2} = g^{t_1} \circ g^{t_2}, \tag{56}$$

here z is taken as an initial value when $t = t_0$, and $\widehat{z}(z,t,t_0) = g^t(z,t_0) = g(t;z,t_0)$ is the solution of the Birkhoffian system (16).

Theorem 4.1. *Let α be defined as above. Let $z \to \widehat{z} = g^t(z,t_0)$ be the phase flow of the Birkhoff's system (16), $M(t;z,t_0) = g_z(t;z,t_0)$ is its Jacobian. At some initial point z, i.e., $t = t_0$, $\widehat{z} = z$, if*

$$|C_\alpha(z,z,t_0,t_0) + D_\alpha(z,z,t_0,t_0)| \neq 0, \tag{57}$$

then there exists, for sufficiently small $|t-t_0|$ and in some neighborhood of $z \in R^{2n}$, a gradient mapping $w \to \widehat{w} = f(w,t,t_0)$ with Jacobian $f_w(w,t,t_0)$ $= N(w,t,t_0)$ symmetric and a scalar function-generating function-$\phi(w,t,t_0)$ such that

$$f(w,t,t_0) = \phi_w(w,t,t_0), \tag{58}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_w(w,t,t_0) = \mathcal{A}(\phi_w(w,t,t_0), w, \phi_{ww}(w,t,t_0), t, t_0), \tag{59}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\widehat{w}, w, \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w}, t, t_0) = \bar{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{z}(\widehat{w}, w, t, t_0), z(\widehat{w}, w, t, t_0), \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w}, t, t_0), \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{z}, z, \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w}, t, t_0) &= \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{w}(\widehat{z}, z, t, t_0) - \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w}\frac{d}{dt}w(\widehat{z}, z, t, t_0) \\ &= (A_\alpha - \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w}C_\alpha)K^{-1}D(\widehat{z}, t) + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w}\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial t}, \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(g(t;z,t_0), z, t, t_0) &= f(\alpha_2(g(t;z,t_0), z, t, t_0), t, t_0) \\ &= \phi_w(\alpha_2(g(t;z,t_0), z, t, t_0), t, t_0), \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

identically in z and t,

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \sigma_\alpha(M) = (A_\alpha M + B_\alpha)(C_\alpha M + D_\alpha)^{-1}, \\ M &= \sigma_{\alpha^{-1}} = (A^\alpha N + B^\alpha)(C^\alpha N + D^\alpha)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Proof. $M(t;z,t_0)$ is differentiable with respect to z and t. Condition (57) guarantees that for sufficiently small $|t-t_0|$ and in some neighborhood $\widehat{z}$ of $z \in R^{2n}$, there is

$$|C_\alpha(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0)M(t;z,t_0) + D_\alpha(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0)| \neq 0. \tag{64}$$

Additionally the Birkhoffian phase flow is a symplectic mapping, therefore by Theorem 3.1 there exists a time-dependent gradient map $\widehat{w} = f(w,t,t_0)$ and there is a scalar function $\phi(w,t,t_0)$, such that

$$f(w,t,t_0) = \phi_w(w,t,t_0). \tag{65}$$

$$\frac{\partial f(w,t,t_0)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \phi_w(w,t,t_0)}{\partial t} \tag{66}$$

Notice that $\widehat{z} = g(t;z,t_0)$ is the solution of the following initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{z}}{\mathrm{d}t} = K^{-1}(\widehat{z},t)(\bigtriangledown B + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t})(\widehat{z},t) \\ \widehat{z}|_{t=t_0} = z \end{cases}, \tag{67}$$

therefore from the equations

$$\widehat{w} = \alpha_1(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0), \qquad w = \alpha_2(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0), \tag{68}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{w}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial \widehat{z}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{z}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha_1(\widehat{z},z,t,t_0) \\ &= A_\alpha K^{-1}(\bigtriangledown B + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial t}, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}t} &= C_\alpha K^{-1}(\bigtriangledown B + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial t}, \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial t} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{w}}{\mathrm{d}t} - \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial t}\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}t} \\ &= (A_\alpha - \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial t}C_\alpha)K^{-1}(\bigtriangledown B + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}) + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w}\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial t}. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Since $\frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w} \neq 0$, so $w = w(\widehat{w},t)$ exists and it is solvable, But it can not be solved directly from the transformation α and α^{-1}. Set

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{z},z,\frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w},t,t_0) = \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial t}, \tag{71}$$

then we denote

$$\mathcal{A}(\widehat{w}, w, \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w}, t, t_0) = \bar{\mathcal{A}}(\widehat{z}(\widehat{w}, w, t, t_0), z(\widehat{w}, w, t, t_0), \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w}, t, t_0), \tag{72}$$

so from the equation (66), we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_w(w, t, t_0) = \mathcal{A}(\phi_w, w, \phi_{ww}, t, t_0). \tag{73}$$

Q.E.D..

The above theorem is given for the nonautonomous Birkhoffian system, which is geometrically different from the autonomous or semi-autonomous Birkhoffian system and we explained this point in Section 2. According to Ref. [4], we can easily construct any order symplectic difference schemes for the later two. Because of the simplicity of the ordinary geometry structure in autonomous or semi-autonomous case, the transformation α we need in these cases is free of parameter t, and the corresponding Birkhoffian is completely Hamiltonian, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial t} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{w}}{\mathrm{d}t} - \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial t}\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}t} \\
&= (A_\alpha - \frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial t}C_\alpha)K^{-1} \bigtriangledown B \\
&= -(B^{\alpha \mathrm{T}} + (\frac{\partial \widehat{w}}{\partial w}^{\mathrm{T}})A^{\alpha \mathrm{T}}) \bigtriangledown_z B \\
&= -B_w(\widehat{z}(\widehat{w}, w)) \quad (\text{or} = -B_w(\widehat{z}(\widehat{w}, w), t)),
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

resonantly we have the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi(w, t)}{\partial t} = -B(\widehat{z}(\phi_w, w)), \tag{75}$$

or for semi-autonomous cases there is

$$\frac{\partial \phi(w, t, t_0)}{\partial t} = -B(\widehat{z}(\phi_w, w), t). \tag{76}$$

We describe the k-th order total derivative of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to t as

$$\begin{aligned}
D_t^k\mathcal{A} &= \partial_{\phi_w}\mathcal{A}(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(t-t_0)^i\phi_w^{(k+i)}) + \partial_{\phi_{ww}}\mathcal{A}(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(t-t_0)^i\phi_{ww}^{(k+i)})+\\
&\partial_t\partial_{\phi_w}\mathcal{A}(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(t-t_0)^i\phi_w^{(k-1+i)} + \partial_t\partial_{\phi_{ww}}\mathcal{A}(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(t-t_0)^i\phi_{ww}^{(k-1+i)})+\\
&\sum_{m=0}^{k}C_k^m\sum_{n=1}^{k-m}C_{k-m}^n\sum_{l=1}^{k-m-n}\sum_{\substack{h_1+\cdots+h_n+\\ j_1+\cdots+j_l=k-m}}\partial_{\phi_w}^n\partial_{\phi_{ww}}^l\partial_t^m\mathcal{A}\\
&(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(t-t_0)^i\phi_w^{(h_1+i)},\cdots,\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(t-t_0)^i\phi_w^{(h_n+i)},\\
&\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(t-t_0)^i\phi_{ww}^{(j_1+i)},\cdots,\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(t-t_0)^i\phi_w^{(j_l+i)}),
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

then at the point of $t=t_0$, the total derivative of $\mathcal{A}$ is as

$$\begin{aligned}
D_t^k\mathcal{A}(\phi_w^{(0)},w,\phi_{ww}^{(0)},t_0,t_0) &= \partial_{\phi_w}\mathcal{A}\phi_w^{(k)} + \partial_{\phi_{ww}}\mathcal{A}\phi_{ww}^{(k)}+\\
&\partial_t\partial_{\phi_w}\mathcal{A}\phi_w^{(k-1)} + \partial_t\partial_{\phi_w w}\mathcal{A}\phi_{ww}^{(k-1)}+\\
&\sum_{m=0}^{k}C_k^m\sum_{n=1}^{k-m}C_{k-m}^n\sum_{l=1}^{k-m-n}\sum_{\substack{h_1+\cdots+h_n+\\ j_1+\cdots+j_l=k-m}}\partial_{\phi_w}^n\partial_{\phi_{ww}}^l\partial_t^m\\
&\mathcal{A}(\phi_w^{(0)},w,\phi_{ww}^{(0)},t_0,t_0)(\phi_w^{(h_1)},\cdots,\phi_w^{(h_l)},\phi_{ww}^{(j_1)},\cdots,\phi_{ww}^{(j_n)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and α be analytic. Then the generating function $\phi_{w\alpha,\mathcal{A}}(w,t,t_0)=\phi_w(w,t,t_0)$ can be expanded as a convergent power series in t for sufficiently small $|t-t_0|$*

$$\phi_w(w,t,t_0)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(t-t_0)^k\phi_w^{(k)}(w,t_0), \tag{79}$$

and $\phi_w^{(k)},\ k\geqslant 0$, can be recursively determined by the following equations

$$\phi_w^{(0)}(w,t_0)=f(w,t_0,t_0), \tag{80}$$

$$\phi_w^{(1)}(w,t_0)=\mathcal{A}(\phi_w^{(0)},w,\phi_{ww}^{(0)},t_0,t_0), \tag{81}$$

$$\phi_w^{k+1}(w,t_0)=\frac{1}{(k+1)!}D_t^k\mathcal{A}(\phi_w^{(0)},w,\phi_{ww}^{(0)},t_0,t_0). \tag{82}$$

Proof. Differentiating the equation (79) with respect to w and t, we get

$$\phi_{ww}(w,t,t_0)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(t-t_0)^k\phi_{ww}^{(k)}(w,t_0), \tag{83}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_w(w,t,t_0)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1)(t-t_0)^k\phi_w^{(k+1)}(w,t_0). \tag{84}$$

By the equation (58),

$$\phi_w^0(w,t_0)=\phi_w(w,t_0,t_0)=f(w,t_0,t_0). \tag{85}$$

Substituting the equation (83) in $\mathcal{A}(\widehat{w},w,\frac{\partial\widehat{w}}{\partial w},t,t_0)$, and expanding $\mathcal{A}$ in $t=t_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\phi_w,w,\phi_{ww},t,t_0)=&\mathcal{A}(f(w,t_0,t_0),w,f_w(w,t_0,t_0),t_0,t_0)+\\&\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}(t-t_0)^kD_t^k\mathcal{A}(\phi_w^{(0)},w,\phi_{ww}^{(0)},t_0,t_0).\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

Using the equation (59) and comparing the equation (86) with the equation (84), we get the equations (81) and (82).

For the autonomous and semi-autonomous the difference is that $\mathcal{A}$ is replaced with Bikhoffian B, which makes the expanding of generating function ϕ much more easy.

Through Theorem (4.1) and (4.2), the relationship between the Birkhoffian phase flow and the generating function $\phi(w,t,t_0)$ is established. With the aid of this result, we can directly construct $k(z,t)$-symplectic difference schemes.

Theorem 4.3. *Let α be given as Theorem (4.1), and $\mathcal{A}$ analytic. For sufficiently small $\tau>0$ as the time-step. Take*

$$\psi_w^{(m)}(w,t_0+\tau,t_0)=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\tau^i\phi_w^{(i)}(w,t_0),\qquad\qquad m=1,2,\cdots, \tag{87}$$

where $\phi_w^{(i)}$ are determined by equations (80)-(82). Then $\psi_w^{(m)}(w,t_0+\tau,t_0)$ defines a K(z,t)-symplectic difference scheme $z=z^k\longrightarrow z^{k+1}=\widehat{z}$,

$$\alpha_1(z^{k+1},z^k,t_{k+1},t_k)=\psi_w^{(m)}(\alpha_2(z^{k+1},z^k,t_{k+1},t_k),t_{k+1},t_k) \tag{88}$$

of m-th order of accuracy.

Proof. Because of that

$$|C_\alpha(z,z,t_0,t_0)+D_\alpha(z,z,t_0,t_0)|\neq 0, \tag{89}$$

so by Proposition 3.1, $|C^\alpha N+D^\alpha|\neq 0$, where $N=\phi_{ww}(w_0,t_0,t_0)=\psi^{(m)}_{ww}(w_0,t_0,t_0)$, and $w_0=\alpha(z,z,t_0,t_0)$. Thus for sufficiently small τ and in some neighborhood of w_0, there exists

$$|C^\alpha N^{(m)}(w,t_0+\tau,t_0)+D^\alpha|\neq 0, \tag{90}$$

where

$$N^{(m)}(w,t_0+\tau,t_0)=\psi^{(m)}_{ww}(w,t_0+\tau,t_0). \tag{91}$$

By Theorem 3.2, $\psi^{(m)}_{w}(w,t_0+\tau,t_0)$ defines a $K(z,t)$-symplectic mapping which is expressed in the equation (55). Therefore the equation (88) determines a m-th order $K(z,t)$-symplectic difference scheme for the Birkhoffian system (16).

5 Example

In this section we give an example to illustrate how to get a symplectic-structure-preserving scheme for a non-conservative system which can be expressed in Birkhoffian representation.

Consider the system of a linear damped oscillator

$$\ddot{r}+\nu\dot{r}+r=0. \tag{92}$$

We introduce a gradient function p satisfying $p=\dot{r}$, then a Birkhoffian representation of this system is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{e}^{\nu t} \\ \mathrm{e}^{\nu t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \dot{r} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} \nu\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}p+\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}r \\ \mathrm{e}^{\nu t}p \end{pmatrix} \tag{93}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K&=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{e}^{\nu t} \\ \mathrm{e}^{\nu t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad K^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{e}^{-\nu t} \\ -\mathrm{e}^{-\nu t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ F&=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}p \\ -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}r \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B=\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}(r^2+rp+p^2). \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

Take a simple transformation α as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}&=\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}\widehat{p}-\mathrm{e}^{\nu t_0}p, \qquad \widehat{P}=\widehat{r}-r, \\ R&=\frac{1}{2}(\widehat{r}+r), \qquad P=-\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}\widehat{p}+\mathrm{e}^{\nu t_0}p). \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

The Jacobian of α is

$$\alpha_* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{e}^{\nu t} & 0 & -\mathrm{e}^{\nu t_0} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\nu t} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\nu t_0} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{96}$$

The inverse transformation is

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{r} &= \frac{1}{2}\widehat{P} + R, \qquad & \widehat{p} &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{-\nu t}\widehat{R} - \mathrm{e}^{-\nu t}P, \\ r &= -\frac{1}{2}\widehat{P} + R, \qquad & p &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\nu t_0}\widehat{R} - \mathrm{e}^{-\nu t_0}P, \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

and

$$\alpha_*^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{-\nu t} & 0 & 0 & -\mathrm{e}^{-\nu t} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{-\nu t_0} & 0 & 0 & -\mathrm{e}^{-\nu t_0} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{98}$$

consequently using (95) and (97) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{w}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}\widehat{p} + \mathrm{e}^{\nu t}\dot{\widehat{p}} \\ \dot{\widehat{r}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}\widehat{r} \\ \widehat{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}\widehat{P} - \mathrm{e}^{\nu t}R \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}{-\nu t}\widehat{R} - \mathrm{e}^{-\nu t}P \end{pmatrix}, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{e}^{-\nu t}\widehat{R} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}{-\nu t}P \\ \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}\widehat{P} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\nu t}R \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

Simple computation attains

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_w^{(0)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{R} \\ \widehat{P} \end{pmatrix} |_{t=t_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \phi_w^{(1)} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{w}}{\mathrm{d}t} |_{t=t_0} - \phi_{ww}^{(0)} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}t} |_{t=t_0} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{e}^{\nu t_0}R \\ -\mathrm{e}^{-\nu t_0}P \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Set

$$\widehat{w} = \phi^{(0)} + \phi^{(1)}\tau, \tag{101}$$

so we get a first order scheme for the system (93)

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_{k+1} \\ p_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4-\tau^2}{4+\tau^2} & \frac{4\tau}{4+\tau^2} \\ -\frac{4\tau}{4+\tau^2}\mathrm{e}^{-\nu\tau} & \frac{4-\tau^2}{4+\tau^2}\mathrm{e}^{-\nu\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_k \\ p_k \end{pmatrix}, \tag{102}$$

which is $K(z,t)$-symplectic. The transition matrix is denoted by A, then

$$A^{\mathrm{T}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{e}^{\nu t_{k+1}} \\ \mathrm{e}^{\nu t_{k+1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{e}^{\nu t_k} \\ \mathrm{e}^{\nu t_k} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{103}$$

Similarly we get $\phi^{(2)}$

$$\phi^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\nu}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\nu t_0} R \\ \frac{\nu}{2}\mathrm{e}^{-\nu t_0} P \end{pmatrix}. \tag{104}$$

Direct computation also gives a second order symplectic scheme for the system (93)

$$\begin{pmatrix} r^{k+1} \\ p_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{16-ab}{16+ab} & \frac{8a}{16+ab} \\ -\frac{8a}{16+ab}\mathrm{e}^{-\nu\tau} & \frac{16-ab}{16+ab}\mathrm{e}^{-\nu\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_k \\ p_k \end{pmatrix}, \tag{105}$$

where

$$a = 2\tau - \nu\tau^2, \qquad b = 2\tau + \nu\tau^2. \tag{106}$$

The transition of the scheme (105) also preserves the symplecticity. While the direct Euler center difference scheme for the equations (93) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} r^{k+1} \\ p_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\tau^2+2\nu\tau+4}{\tau^2+2\nu\tau+4} & \frac{4\tau}{\tau^2+2\nu\tau+4} \\ \frac{-4\tau}{\tau^2+2\nu\tau+4} & \frac{-\tau^2-2\nu\tau+4}{\tau^2+2\nu\tau+4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_k \\ p_k \end{pmatrix}. \tag{107}$$

which is not a symplectic scheme.

References

[1] R. M. Santilli, Foundations of Theoretical Mechanics I, 1983 by Springer-Verlag New York Inc..

[2] R. M. Santilli, Foundations of Theoretical Mechanics II, 1983 by Springer-Verlag New York Inc..

[3] Y. X. Guo, S. K. Luo, M. Shang & F. X. Mei, Rep. Math. Phys., **47**(2001): 313-322.

[4] K. Feng & M. Z. Qin, Proc. Conf. on Numerical Methods for PDEs, Springer, Berlin 1987: 1-37, Lecture Notes in Math, 1297.

[5] R. W. Atherton & G. M. Homsy, Studies in Applied Mathematics Vol LIV, No.1, March 1975. Copyright@ by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

[6] J. E. Marsden & T. S. Ratiu, Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry, @1994 by Springer-Verlag New York, Inc..

[7] V. I. Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics, @1978, 1989 By Springer-Verlag New York Inc..

[8] W. Sarlet, F. Cartrijin & M. Crampin, J.Phys. A, **20**(1987), 1365-1376.

[9] J. F. Carinena, L. A. Ibort, G. Marmo & A. Stern, Phys. Rep., **263**(1995), 153-212.

[10] E. Massa & E. Pagani, Ann. Inst. Heri Poincaré, **61**(1994), 17-62.

[11] W. Sarlet, A. Vandecasteele & F. Cantrijn, Diff. Geom. Appl., **5**(1995), 171-203.

[12] P. Morando & S. Vignolo, J. Phys. A, **31**(1998), 8233 -8245.

[13] R. P. Feynman, the Feynman Lectures II, 1966, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.